arXiv:1403.5121v1 [math.MG] 20 Mar 2014

POINCARÉ INEQUALITIES FOR MUTUALLY SINGULAR MEASURES

ANDREA SCHIOPPA

ABSTRACT. Using an inverse system of metric graphs as in [CK13a], we provide a simple example of a metric space X that admits Poincaré inequalities for a continuum of mutually singular measures.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. The Example 2
References 4

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note we provide a simple example of a metric measure space X that satisfies abstract Poincaré inequalities in the sense of Heinonen-Koskela [HK98] for a 1-parameter family of mutually singular measures. By a recent announcement of M. Csörnyei and P. Jones the classical Rademacher's Theorem is *sharp* in the sense that if its *conclusion* holds for the metric measure space $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu)$, then μ must be absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. From this, using the theory of *differentiability spaces* (compare [Che99, Sec. 14]), it follows that if μ is a doubling measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that the metric measure space $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu)$ admits an abstract Poincaré inequality, then the measure μ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. The example presented here shows that for metric measure spaces a similar phenomenon does not hold; in particular, the measure class for which Cheeger's generalization of Rademacher's Theorem holds is not uniquely determined.

Theorem 1.1. *There is a compact geodesic metric space X and there is a family of doubling probability measures $\{\mu_w\}_{w\in(0,1)}$ defined on X such that:*

- *Each metric measure space (X, μ_w) supports a $(1,1)$-Poincaré inequality;*
- *If $w \neq w'$ the measures μ_w and $\mu_{w'}$ are mutually singular.*

Acknowledgements. The author thanks B. Kleiner for encouraging him to write this note.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26D10.
Key words and phrases. Poincaré inequality, differentiable structure.

2. The Example

The goal of this Section is to prove Theorem 1.1. The metric space X that we consider is the example [LP01, pg. 290], compare also [CK13b, Exa. 1.2]. We briefly recall the construction. We build a sequence of graphs $\{X_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ starting with X_0, which consists of a single edge which we identify with the interval $[0,1]$. The graph X_{n+1} is obtained from X_n by subdividing each edge of X_n into four equal parts and replacing it by a rescaled copy of the diamond graph (Figure 1, where we have labelled the edges for future reference). Each edge of X_n has length



Figure 1. Diamong graph with labelled edges

4^{-n} and the map which collapses the diamond graph to a segment gives rise to 1-Lipschitz maps $\pi_{n+1,n} : X_{n+1} \to X_n$. In this way, the graphs $\{X_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ fit into an inverse system and, for each pair (k,n) of nonnegative integers satisfying $k \leq n$, one has a 1-Lipschitz map $\pi_{n,k} : X_n \to X_k$. The maps $\{\pi_{n,k}\}_{n\geq 0, k\geq 0}$ satisfy the compatibility relations:

$$\pi_{k,m} \circ \pi_{n,k} = \pi_{n,m}. \tag{2.1}$$

Having equipped the graphs X_n with the length distance, the space X is the Gromov-Hausdorff limit of the sequence $\{X_n\}_{n\geq 0}$; by the properties of the inverse system one also gets 1-Lipschitz maps $\pi_{\infty,n} : X \to X_n$ which satisfy the compatibility conditions:

$$\pi_{n,k} \circ \pi_{\infty,n} = \pi_{\infty,k}. \tag{2.2}$$

We will let $\mathrm{Edge}(n)$ and $\mathrm{Vertex}(n)$ denote, respectively, the sets of edges and vertices of X_n.

We now turn to the construction of the family of measures $\{\mu_w\}_{w\in(0,1)}$. For $w \in (0,1)$, the measure μ_w is the unique probability measure on X which satisfies the following requirements:

- **(R1):** For each nonnegative integer n, one has $\pi_{\infty,n\sharp}(\mu_w) = \mu_{w,n}$ where $\mu_{w,n}$ is a probability measure on X_n;
- **(R2):** The measure $\mu_{w,n}$ is a multiple of arclength on each edge of X_n and μ_0 is identified with the Lebesgue measure on $[0,1]$;
- **(R3):** For each edge $e_n \in \mathrm{Edge}(n)$, denoting by $\{e_{n+1,i}\}_{i=1,\cdots,6} \subset \mathrm{Edge}(n+1)$ the edges of X_{n+1} whose union is $\pi^{-1}_{n+1,n}(e_n)$ (labelling as in Figure 1), one

has:

$$\mu_{w,n+1}\llcorner e_{n+1,i} = \begin{cases} \mu_{w,n}(e_n)\,\mathcal{H}^1\llcorner e_{n+1,i} & \text{if } i = 1,6 \\ w\mu_{w,n}(e_n)\,\mathcal{H}^1\llcorner e_{n+1,i} & \text{if } i = 2,3 \\ (1-w)\mu_{w,n}(e_n)\,\mathcal{H}^1\llcorner e_{n+1,i} & \text{if } i = 4,5, \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

where $\mathcal{H}^1$ denotes the 1-dimensional Hausdorff measure.

By the main result of [CK13a, Thm. 1.1] each metric measure space (X, μ_w) admits a $(1,1)$-Poincaré inequality. Note that the class of spaces considered in [CK13a] is much broader and in this example one can also prove the $(1,1)$-Poincaré inequality directly by using pencils of curves similarly as in [Laa00].

We now turn to a probabilistic description of the points in X. Let $V \subset X$ be the set of points which project to some vertex:

$$V = \{p \in X : \exists n \geq 0 : \pi_{\infty,n}(p) \in \mathrm{Vertex}(n)\}\,; \tag{2.4}$$

then $\mu_w(V) = 0$ by conditions **(R1)** and **(R2)**. Let $\mathcal{C}$ denote the Cantor set $\{1, \cdots, 6\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and let $A : \mathcal{C} \to X \setminus V$ be the map defined as follows: given $\tilde{p} \in \mathcal{C}$, $A(\tilde{p})$ is the unique point $p \in X \setminus V$ such that, for each $n \geq 1$, $\pi_{n+1}(p)$ belongs to the edge labelled by the integer $\tilde{p}(n)$ among those in $\pi^{-1}_{n+1,n}(e_n)$ (see Figure 1), where e_n is the unique edge of X_n containing $\pi_n(p)$. We now define the probability measure ν_w on $\{1, \cdots, 6\}$ defined as follows:

$$\nu_w(i) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } i = 1,6 \\ \frac{w}{4} & \text{if } i = 2,3 \\ \frac{1-w}{4} & \text{if } i = 4,5; \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

we then let P_w denote the probability measure on $\mathcal{C}$ which is the product of countably many copies of the measure ν_w. We observe that $A_\sharp P_w = \mu_w$ and we let $T_{i,n}$ denote the random variable:

$$T_{i,n}(\tilde{p}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \tilde{p}(n) = i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

Lemma 2.7. *Let $S_{i,n} = \sum_{k \leq n} T_{i,k}$; then one has P_w-a.s.:*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{S_{i,n}}{n} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } i = 1,6 \\ \frac{w}{4} & \text{if } i = 2,3 \\ \frac{1-w}{4} & \text{if } i = 4,5. \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. This follows from the Strong Law of Large Numbers as the random variables $\{T_{i,n}\}_{n\geq 1}$ are i.i.d. □

We now complete the proof of Theorem 1.1:

Lemma 2.9. *If $w \neq w'$ the measures μ_w and $\mu_{w'}$ are mutually singular.*

Proof. We show that the Radon-Nikodym derivative $\frac{d\mu_w}{d\mu_{w'}}$ is null. We let $\mathrm{Reg}(w')$ denote the set of points of $\mathcal{C}$ such that (2.8) holds (for the parameter w'). By an application of measure differentiation, if we consider $p \in X \setminus V$ and let $e_n(p) \in \mathrm{Edge}(n)$ denote the unique edge of X_n containing $\pi_n(p)$, then for $\mu_{w'}$-a.e. $p \in$

$A(\mathrm{Reg}(w'))$ we have:

(2.10)

$$\frac{d\mu_w}{d\mu_{w'}}(p) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mu_{w,n}(e_n(p))}{\mu_{w',n}(e_n(p))}$$
$$= \lim_{n\to\infty} \underbrace{\left(\frac{w}{w'}\right)^{S_{2,n}(A^{-1}(p))+S_{3,n}(A^{-1}(p))} \left(\frac{1-w}{1-w'}\right)^{S_{4,n}(A^{-1}(p))+S_{5,n}(A^{-1}(p))}}_{c_n};$$

note that here we used that the set $\pi_n^{-1}(e_n(p))$ is comparable to the ball of radius 4^{-n} about p. We now prove that $\lim_{n\to\infty} c_n = 0$ by showing that $\frac{\ln c_n}{n}$ converges to a negative number. Applying (2.8) we find:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\ln c_n}{n} = \frac{1}{2}\left(w' \ln \frac{w}{w'} + (1-w') \ln \frac{1-w}{1-w'}\right); \tag{2.11}$$

as the function ln is strictly concave and as $w \neq w'$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\ln c_n}{n} < \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(w' \frac{w}{w'} + (1-w') \frac{1-w}{1-w'}\right) = 0. \tag{2.12}$$

□

Remark 2.13. Note that each (X, μ_w) is a differentiability space in the sense of Cheeger [Che99] with a unique chart $(X, \pi_{\infty,0})$. Then Cheeger's formulation of Rademacher's Theorem holds for a continuum of mutually singular measures.

References

[Che99] J. Cheeger. Differentiability of Lipschitz functions on metric measure spaces. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 9(3):428–517, 1999.

[CK13a] J. Cheeger and B. Kleiner. Inverse limit spaces satisfying a Poincare inequality. *ArXiv e-prints*, December 2013.

[CK13b] Jeff Cheeger and Bruce Kleiner. Realization of metric spaces as inverse limits, and bilipschitz embedding in L_1. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 23(1):96–133, 2013.

[HK98] Juha Heinonen and Pekka Koskela. Quasiconformal maps in metric spaces with controlled geometry. *Acta Math.*, 181(1):1–61, 1998.

[Laa00] T. J. Laakso. Ahlfors Q-regular spaces with arbitrary $Q > 1$ admitting weak Poincaré inequality. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 10(1):111–123, 2000.

[LP01] Urs Lang and Conrad Plaut. Bilipschitz embeddings of metric spaces into space forms. *Geom. Dedicata*, 87(1-3):285–307, 2001.

NYU/Courant Institute

E-mail address: schioppa@cims.nyu.edu